

PUBLIC SAFETY

PROGRAM-ID:

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09

	FISCAL	YEAR 2006-	07	1	THREE MO	NTHS ENDED	09-3	0-07	1	NINE MC	NTHS ENDING	06-30-08	
DADT I. EVENINITUES & DOCUMENT	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANG	E %	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	- [± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANG	E %
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)							 						
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	2,819.70 305,275	2,494.70 274,321	325.0 30,95	 0 12 4 10	2,915.70 63,524	2,614.70 42,030	 	- 301.00 - 21,494	 10 34	2,915.70 276,446	2,886.70 281,299	- 29.0 + 4,85	•
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	2,819.70 305,275	2,494.70 274,321	•	0 12 4 10	2,915.70 63,524	2,614.70 42,030	 	- 301.00 - 21,494	10	2,915.70 276,446	2,886.70 281,299	- 29.0 + 4,85	0
				Ī	FIS	CAL YEAR 20	06-07			FIS	CAL YEAR 2007	-08	
DADT II 1151611550 05 55550				<u> </u>	PLANNED	ACTUAL	-	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGI	= %
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NO. ARRESTS MADE BY DEPT'L LAW EN 2. NO. OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY HST	10-1020				88	3261 NO DATA	1	+ 3173 + 0	0	94 0	3300 NO DATA	+	6 3411 0 (
NUMBER OF PAROLE VIOLATORS RETU	RNED TO PRISON	1		- 1	375	264	ĺ	- 111	30	375	277	- 9	8 į 2

PROGRAM TITLE: PUBLIC SAFETY

09

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

The variance in the Public Safety Program is primarily due to employee turnover, recruitment difficulties and collective bargaining augmentation.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

See lowest level programs for an explanation of variances.

VARIANCE REPORT

REPORT V61 11/29/07

PROGRAM TITLE:

SAFETY FROM CRIMINAL ACTIONS

PROGRAM-ID:

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 0901

	[FISCAL	YEAR 2006-	07	1		THREE MO	NTHS ENDED	9-30-	-07	i	NINE MC	NTHS ENDING	06-30-08	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHA	NGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	- 1	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANG	E %
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)	1 1 1			1	 					 				
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS	2.644.20	2,342.20	1 - 30	2.00 [11	2,711,20	2,483.20	Ì	- 228.00	81	2,711.20	2,701.20	10.0	j 100 i 1
EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	221,772	216,258	•	,514		39,481	39,158	- !	- 323	1 1	204,356	209,555	+ 5,19	
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	2,644.20 221,772	2,342.20 216,258		2.00 5,514		2,711.20 39,481	2,483.20 39,158	1	- 228.00 - 323	8 8	2,711.20 204,356	2,701.20 209,555	- 10.0 + 5,19	
					L	FIS	CAL YEAR 200	6-07		Ī	FIS	CAL YEAR 2007	-08	
24 D.T. II. 14 T.A. C. I. T. C. C. T. T. C.						PLANNED	ACTUAL		<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANG	E %
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NO. ARRESTS MADE BY DEPT'L LAW E 2. NO. OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY HRS 3. NUMBER OF PAROLE VIOLATORS RET	710-1020					88 0 375	3261 NO DATA 264		+ 3173 + 0	 3606 0 30	94 0 375	3300 NO DATA 277	+	 6 341 0 (

Intermediate Level Program
No Narrative
(See Lowest Level Programs for Explanation of Variances)

VARIANCE REPORT

REPORT V61 11/29/07

PROGRAM TITLE:

CONFINEMENT AND REINTEGRATION

PROGRAM-ID:

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 090101

	FISCAL	YEAR 2006-	07	- 1		THREE MOI	NTHS ENDED 09	30-07		l	NINE MC	NTHS ENDING	06-30-6	08	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CH/	ANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	1 ±	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CF	ANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS	<u> </u>		1										 	·	
POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)	<u>,</u>		1	i I	1					 				 	
OPERATING COSTS														ĺ	l
POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	2,019.10 111,798	1,781.10 119,933	•	38.00	•	2,063.10	1,870.10	1 -	193.00	9	2,063.10	2,063.10	+	0.00	(
· ,	111,790	119,933	+	8,135	7	29,579	29,579	+	0	0	168,061	172,082	+	4,021	2
TOTAL COSTS	!		ļ												
POSITIONS	2,019.10	1,781.10	•	38.00	•	2,063.10	1,870.10	ļ -	193.00	9	2,063.10	2,063.10	+	0.00	(
EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	111,798	119,933	+	8,135	7]	29,579	29,579	+	0	0	168,061	172,082	+	4,021	2
					L	FISC	CAL YEAR 2006-	07		ı	FIS	CAL YEAR 2007	'-08		
					L	PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> (CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CH	IANGE [%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS	710 1000				1	_									
NO. OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY HRS					ļ.	0	NO DATA	+	0	0	0	NO DATA	+	0	(
2. PERCENT OF INMATES COMPLETING A					!	60	65	+	5	8	85	65	-	20	24
3. PERCENT OF INMATES COMPLETING \					Ţ	0	65	+	65	0	85	65	-	20	24
4. % OF INMATES COMPLETING COUNSE						12	9	-	3	25	13	13	+	0	(
 % INMATES EMPLOYED BY CORRECTING % INMATES W/SANC FOR MISCNOT IN 					ļ	4	4	! +	0	0	5	5	+	0	(
 % INMATES W/SANC FOR MISCNDT IN % OF INMATES WHO TEST POSITIVE O 					ļ	19	NO DATA	! -	19	100	19	NO DATA	-	19	100
7. % OF INVINITES WHO TEST POSITIVE O	IN URINAL 1515 TES	<u> </u>				5	3	-	2	40	5	5	+	0	(

Intermediate Level Program
No Narrative
(See Lowest Level Programs for Explanation of Variances)

HALAWA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY

PROGRAM-ID:

PSD-402

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010102

	FISCAL	YEAR 2006-	07	I		THREE MO	NTHS ENDED	09 - 30-	-07		NINE MO	NTHS ENDING	06-30-0	18	
·	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± 0	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	i	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CH	IANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	403.00 19,685	380.00 21,801	 - +	23.00 2,116	•	401.00 4,851	384.00 4,851	!	- 17.00 + 0	 4 0	401.00 17,130	401.00 17,921	++	0.00 791	 0 5
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	403.00 19,685	380.00 21,801	 - +	23.00 2,116	•	401.00 4,851	384.00 4,851		- 17.00 + 0	4	401.00 17,130	401.00 17,921	+	0.00 791	•
					L	FIS	CAL YEAR 200	6-07			FIS	CAL YEAR 2007	-08	-	
						PLANNED	ACTUAL		+ CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CH.	ANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. EXEC PGM STRUCTURE CHANGES NOT	APPROVED BY L	EG.			Ī	NO DATA	NO DATA	Ī	+ 0	0	NO DATA	NO DATA	+	0	0

PROGRAM TITLE: HALAWA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY

09 01 01 02 PSD 402

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2007:

The expenditure variance is due to collective bargaining augmentation and increase payroll costs associated with overcrowding.

FY 2008:

No significant variance.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Because the Executive's proposed FB 07-09 Program Structure was not approved, no measures of effectiveness are available for this program. Data for measures of effectiveness is available upon request.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Because the Executive's proposed FB 07-09 Program Structure was not approved, no program target groups are available for this program. Data for program target groups is available upon request.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

KULANI CORRECTIONAL FACILITY

PROGRAM-ID:

PSD-403 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010103

I	FISCAL	YEAR 2006-	07	1		THREE MOI	NTHS ENDED 0	9-30	0-07		NINE MO	NTHS ENDING	06-30-	08	
DADT I. EVERNETHEER & POSITIONS	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	[± 0	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	Ţ	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CI	IANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)				 				1							
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	77.00 4,376	67.00 4,373	 - -	 10.00 3	•	77.00 975	68.00 975	-	- 9.00 + 0	 12 0	77.00 3,906	77.00 4,056	 + +	0.00 150	 0 4
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	77.00 4,376	67.00 4,373	-	10.00 3	13 0	77.00 975	68.00 975		- 9.00 + 0	12 0	77.00 3,906	77.00 4,056	+	0.00 150	(
					L	FIS	CAL YEAR 2006	-07		1	FIS	CAL YEAR 2007	-08		
					· [PLANNED	ACTUAL		+ CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± Cl	IANGE [%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. EXEC PGM STRUCTURE CHANGES NOT	APPROVED BY LE	EG.				NO DATA	NO DATA	ŀ	+ 0	0	NO DATA	NO DATA	+	0	, c

PROGRAM TITLE: KULANI CORRECTIONAL FACILITY

09 01 01 03 PSD 403

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2007

The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

FY 2008:

The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Because the Executive's proposed FB 07-09 Program Structure was not approved, no measures of effectiveness are available for this program. Data for measures of effectiveness is available upon request.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Because the Executive's proposed FB 07-09 Program Structure was not approved, no program target groups are available for this program. Data for program target groups is available upon request.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

WAIAWA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY

PROGRAM-ID:

PSD-404

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010104

	FISCAL	YEAR 2006-	07	I		THREE MOI	NTHS ENDED	9-30	0-07		NINE MC	NTHS ENDING	06-30-0	8	
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)	BUDGETED 	ACTUAL	± CI	IANGE 	% 	BUDGETED	ACTUAL		± CHANGE	% 	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CH.	ANGE	%
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	108.00 4,770	100.00 5,164	 - +	8.00 394	7 8	113.00 1,213	111.00 1,213	1	- 2.00 + 0	2 <u> </u> 0	113.00 4,367	113.00 4,565	+	0.00 198	 0 5
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	108.00 4,770	100.00 5,164	- +	8.00 394	7 8	113.00 1,213	111.00 1,213		- 2.00 + 0	2 0	113.00 4,367	113.00 4,565	+ +	0.00 198	0
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS					<u> </u>	FISO PLANNED	ACTUAL		+ CHANGE	%	FIS PLANNED	CAL YEAR 2007 ESTIMATED		ANGE	%
EXEC PGM STRUCTURE CHANGES NOT	APPROVED BY L	EG.			j	NO DATA	NO DATA	i	+ 0	0	NO DATA	NO DATA	+	0	ĺ

PROGRAM TITLE: WAIAWA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY

09 01 01 04 PSD 404

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2007:

No significant variance.

FY 2008:

No significant variance.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Because the Executive's proposed FB 07-09 Program Structure was not approved, no measures of effectiveness are available for this program. Data for measures of effectiveness is available upon request.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Because the Executive's proposed FB 07-09 Program Structure was not approved, no program target groups are available for this program. Data for program target groups is available upon request.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

VARIANCE REPORT

REPORT V61 11/29/07

PROGRAM TITLE:

HAWAII COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

PROGRAM-ID:

PSD-405

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010105

	FISCAL	YEAR 2006-	-07			THREE MOI	NTHS ENDED 09	9-30-0	7	I	NINE MO	NTHS ENDING	06-30-08		
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	±	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHAN	IGE	%
RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)														1	
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	152.00 6,050	137.00 7,795	- +	15.00 1,745		161.00 1,840	156.00 1,840	-	5.00	 3 0	161.00 5,361	161.00 5,683	•).00 322	(
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	152.00 6,050	137.00 7,795	 - +	15.00 1,745		161.00 1,840	156.00 1,840	-	0.00	3 0	161.00 5,361	161.00 5,683		0.00	(
										1	FIS	CAL YEAR 2007	´-08		
	MEASI IDES OF FEFECTIVENICS						ACTUAL	±	CHANGE	% <u>[</u>	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHAN	GE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. EXEC PGM STRUCTURE CHANGES NOT	APPROVED BY L	EG.	٠			NO DATA	NO DATA	+	. 0	0	NO DATA	NO DATA	+	0	

PROGRAM TITLE: HAWAII COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

09 01 01 05 PSD 405

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2007

The expenditure variance is due to collective bargaining augmentation and increase payroll costs associated with overcrowding.

FY 2008:

No significant variance.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Because the Executive's proposed FB 07-09 Program Structure was not approved, no measures of effectiveness are available for this program. Data for measures of effectiveness is available upon request.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Because the Executive's proposed FB 07-09 Program Structure was not approved, no program target groups are available for this program. Data for program target groups is available upon request.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

MAUI COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

PROGRAM-ID:

PSD-406

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010106

I	FISCAL	YEAR 2006-	-07	I		THREE MO	NTHS ENDED 0	9-30	0-07			NINE MO	NTHS ENDING	06-30-	-08	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	1	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± C	HANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)				 				1			 					
DPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	187.00 8,429	118.00 8,346	 - -	69.00 83	•	187.00 1,888	122.00 1,888		- +	65.00 0	35 0	187.00 7,612	187.00 7,988	 + +	0.00 376	•
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	187.00 8,429	118.00 8,346	 - -	69.00 83	•	187.00 1,888	122.00 1,888		+	65.00 0	35 0	187.00 7,612	187.00 7,988	+	0.00 376	•
		•	FIS	CAL YEAR 2006	3-07			1.	FIS	CAL YEAR 2007	-08					
					Ī	PLANNED	ACTUAL	T		HANGE	%	PLANNED			HANGE	%
ART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. EXEC PGM STRUCTURE CHANGES NOT	APPROVED BY L	EG.			[NO DATA	NO DATA	Ī	+	0	0	NO DATA	NO DATA	+	0	

PROGRAM TITLE: MAUI COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

09 01 01 06 PSD 406

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2007

The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

FY 2008:

The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Because the Executive's proposed FB 07-09 Program Structure was not approved, no measures of effectiveness are available for this program. Data for measures of effectiveness is available upon request.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Because the Executive's proposed FB 07-09 Program Structure was not approved, no program target groups are available for this program. Data for program target groups is available upon request.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

OAHU COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

PROGRAM-ID:

PSD-407

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010107

	FISCAL	YEAR 2006-	07	1		THREE MOI	NTHS ENDED (09-30)-07		NINE MO	NTHS ENDING	06-30-	08	
l	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	1 ± C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	ļ	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CH	IANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)			 	 	 			1		 					
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	495.00 24,002	470.00 25,043	 - +	25.00 1,041	5 4	494.00 5,991	474.00 _ 5,991		- 20.00 + 0	4 4	494.00 20,867	494.00 21,809	 + +	0.00 942	•
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	495.00 24,002	470.00 25,043	 - +	25.00 1,041	5	494.00 5,991	474.00 5,991	- 	- 20.00 + 0	4 0	494.00 20,867	494.00 21,809	+	0.00 942	•
					L	FISC	CAL YEAR 200	6-07			FIS	CAL YEAR 2007	-08		
		*				PLANNED	ACTUAL	1	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CH	IANGE	%
PART II: MÉASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. EXEC PGM STRUCTURE CHANGES NOT	APPROVED BY L	EG.			i	NO DATA	NO DATA	1	+ 0	0	NO DATA	NO DATA	+	0	(

PROGRAM TITLE: OAHU COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

09 01 01 07 PSD 407

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2007:

No significant variance.

FY 2008:

No significant variance.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Because the Executive's proposed FB 07-09 Program Structure was not approved, no measures of effectiveness are available for this program. Data for measures of effectiveness is available upon request.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Because the Executive's proposed FB 07-09 Program Structure was not approved, no program target groups are available for this program. Data for program target groups is available upon request.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

KAUAI COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

PROGRAM-ID:

PSD-408

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010108

l	FISCAL	YEAR 2006-	07	I		THREE MO	NTHS ENDED 0	9-30	0-07		NINE MO	NTHS ENDING	06-30-08	}	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± ¢	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	I	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHA	ANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)			 	 										 	
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	68.00 3,021	63.00 3,482	 - +	5.00 461		68.00 734	59.00 734	-	- 9.00 + 0	 13 0	68.00 2,679	68.00 2,800	 + +	0.00 121	 0 5
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	68.00 3,021	63.00 3,482	 - +,	5.00 461	•	68.00 734	59.00 734] 	- 9.00 + 0	13	68.00 2,679	68.00 2,800	+ +	0.00 121	0 5
	3,021 3,462 + 461						CAL YEAR 2006	-07				CAL YEAR 2007			
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS					1	PLANNED	ACTUAL	_	<u>+</u> CHANGE	<u>% </u>	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHA	NGE	<u> </u>
EXEC PGM STRUCTURE CHANGES NOT	APPROVED BY L	EG.				NO DATA	NO DATA	i	+ 0	0	NO DATA	NO DATA	+	0	0

PROGRAM TITLE: KAUAI COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

09 01 01 08 PSD 408

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2007:

The expenditure variance is due to collective bargaining augmentation and increase payroll costs associated with overcrowding.

FY 2008:

No significant variance.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Because the Executive's proposed FB 07-09 Program Structure was not approved, no measures of effectiveness are available for this program. Data for measures of effectiveness is available upon request.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Because the Executive's proposed FB 07-09 Program Structure was not approved, no program target groups are available for this program. Data for program target groups is available upon request.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

VARIANCE REPORT

STATE OF HAWAII

PROGRAM TITLE:

WOMEN'S COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

PROGRAM-ID:

PSD-409

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010109

	[FISCAL	YEAR 2006-	07			THREE MO	NTHS ENDED 0	9-3	0-07		NINE MO	NTHS ENDING	06-30-0	8	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± 0	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	ı	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CH.	ANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)			 	·				 						! ! !	
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	 134.00 5,744	110.00 5,805	 - +	24.00 61		132.00 1,304	110.00 1,304		- 22.00 + 0	 17 0	132.00 5,118	132.00 5,367	 + +	0.00 249	 0 5
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	134.00	110.00 5,805	 - +	24.00 61		132.00 1,304	110.00 1,304		- 22.00 + 0	17 0	132.00 5,118	132.00 5,367	+	0.00 249	5
					L	FIS	CAL YEAR 2006	-07	,		FIS	CAL YEAR 2007	-08		
B. B. T. H. J. W. B. B. L. B.						PLANNED	ACTUAL	.	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CH/	ANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. EXEC PGM STRUCTURE CHANGES NO	T APPROVED BY L	.EG.				NO DATA	NO DATA	1	+ 0	0	NO DATA	NO DATA	+	0	. 0

PROGRAM TITLE: WOMEN'S COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

09 01 01 09 PSD 409

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2007:

No significant variance.

FY 2008:

The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Because the Executive's proposed FB 07-09 Program Structure was not approved, no measures of effectiveness are available for this program. Data for measures of effectiveness is available upon request.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Because the Executive's proposed FB 07-09 Program Structure was not approved, no program target groups are available for this program. Data for program target groups is available upon request.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

INTAKE SERVICE CENTERS

PROGRAM-ID: PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010110

PSD-410

	FISCAL	YEAR 2006-	07	I		THREE MON	THS ENDED 09-	30-07	i	NINE MO	NTHS ENDING	06-30-0	38	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CI	HANGE	% [BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANG	= %	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CH	IANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)			1	 	 			 	 			 	 	
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	55.00 2,616	42.00 2,377	 - -	13.00 239	24	61.00 554	54.00 554	- 7.00 + 0		•	61.00 3,166	 + +	0.00 113	
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	55.00 2,616	42.00 2,377	 - -	13.00 239	•	61.00 554	54.00 554	 - 7.00 + 0	•		61.00 3,166	 + +	0.00 113	•
					Ţ		AL YEAR 2006-0				CAL YEAR 2007			
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS					Ļ	PLANNED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> Ch	IANGE	%
1. %PRETRIAL SUPERVSN CASES APPEAI 2. %PRETRIAL SUPERVSN CASES NOT CH 3. % COMMUNITY SERVICE RESTITUTN PI 4. % OF OFFENDERS THAT COMPLETE AL 5. NO. BED SPACE DAYS SAVED THRU ISC 6. % COMMUNITY SERVICE RESTITUTN PI	HARGED W/NEW OF ROG CASES COMP TERNATIVE SENTI PRGMS/INTERVE	FFENSE PLETED ENCES INTN				90 95 35 75 300000 35	90 99 30 86 274207 32	+ 0 + 4 - 5 + 11 - 25793 - 3	0 4 14 15 9 9	75 300000	90 95 30 85 300000 33	 + + - + -	0 0 5 10 0 2	0 0 14 13 0 6
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. NUMBER OF PRETRIAL OFFENDERS 2. NO. OF SENTENCED OFFENDERS WITH	COMMUNITY STA	TUS				1068 474	809 581	 - 259 + 107	 24 23		873 489	 - +	217 0	20 0
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NUMBER OF PRETRIAL INVESTIGATION 2. NUMBER OF BAIL REPORTS COMPLETE 3. NUMBER OF INTAKE SCREENINGS CON 4. NO. OF PRETRIAL CASES PLACED ON IS 5. NO. SENTENCED OFFENDERS PLACED 6. NO. OFFENDERS PLACED ON COMMUN	ED IDUCTED SC SUPERVISION ON ISC SUPERVIS					12000 11500 10000 12000 200 5000	10897 10142 8868 13490 643 10690	- 1103 - 1358 - 1132 + 1490 + 443 + 5690	12 11 12 222	12000 200	11000 10500 9300 13000 700 11000	- - - + +	1000 1000 1000 700 1000 500	7 8 250

PROGRAM TITLE: INTAKE SERVICE CENTERS

09 01 01 10 PSD 410

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2007:

The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

FY 2008:

The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

- 3. The variance is due to an underestimation of the percentage of cases that completed community service restitution programs.
- 4. The variance is due to underestimation of the percentage of offenders that completed alternative sentences.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

- 1. The variance is due to a decrease in the annual number of admissions for pretrial felons.
- 2. The variance is due to underestimation in the number of sentenced offenders with community status.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

- 2. The variance in the number of pretrial investigations with bail reports that were completed resulted from an increased number of cases that were able to secure bail or bond. This may have been a reflection of the robust economy.
- 3. The variance is due to a decrease the annual number of admissions into the Community Correctional Centers (CCC's). The reduced number of offenders entering the CCC's translated to fewer cases being screened.
- 4. The variances in the number of pretrial cases placed under Intake Service Center (ISC) is due to judges granting more supervised releases to alleviate the overcrowded jails; more offenders who posted bail or bond were place under ISC supervision; and a trend for most defendants who changed their plea being released from custody and placed under ISC supervision as part of their plea agreement.
- 5. The variances in the number of sentenced cases placed under ISC supervision were because more referrals were made from the correctional facilities and more inmates

qualified for home detention based on ISC assessments.

6. The variance in the number of offenders placed on community service restitution program is based on a plan that did not work. In the past, data indicated that offenders were not completing the hours for community service as ordered by the courts. To resolve the problem, the courts resorted to fines rather than additional community hours. However, fines against the offenders who did not complete the hours of community service were not effective.

REPORT V61 CORRECTIONS PROGRAM SERVICES 11/29/07

PROGRAM TITLE: PROGRAM-ID:

PSD-420

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010111

	FISCAL	YEAR 2006-	07			THREE MO	NTHS ENDED 09-	30-07		NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-08						
DART I EVENINITURES A ROCITIONS	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± 0	CHANGE	% [BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHA	NGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%	
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)	 		1	! ! !	1			 					 	 		
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	180.50 17,279	159.00 17,007	-	21.50 272	•	184.00 3,144	171.00 3,144	 - 1: +	3.00	 7 0	184.00 15,217	184.00 15,513	 + +	0.00 296	0 2	
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	[180.50 17,279	159.00 17,007	 - -	21.50 272		184.00 3,144	171.00 3,144	•	3.00 0	7 0	184.00 15,217	184.00 15,513	+	0.00 296	0 2	
					L		CAL YEAR 2006-0					CAL YEAR 2007				
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS					Ļ	PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHA	NGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CI	HANGE	%	
Nimates completiveness Nimates completiveness Nof inmates who test positive of the completing and the completing persons Nof inmates completing persons Percent of inmates completing value.	N URINALYSIS TES CADEMIC PROGRA AL IMPROVEMENT	TS MS PROGS				12 5 60 75	9 3 65 74 65	 - - + -	3 2 5 1 65	25 25 40 8 1	13 5 85 85 85	13 5 65 75 65	 + + -	0 0 20 10 20	0 0 24 12 24	
 % INMATES COMPLETING SUBSTANCE % INMATES PARTICIPATING IN RELIG S % MEALS SRVD MEET REQRMTS OF AN # GRIEVANCES/LAWSUITS RELATED TO % SENTNCD FELONS COMPLTD PRGMS 	ABUSE TRTMT PR SVCS & ACTIVITIES MER DIETETIC ASS D ACCESS TO COU	OGRMS N RTS				68 75 100 50 5	66 75 100 37 5	- + + - +	2 0 0 13 0	3 0 0 26	68 75 100 50	68 75 100 50	+ + + + +	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. AVERAGE INMATE POPULATION 2. NUMBER OF NEW INMATE ADMISSIONS	S				 	3487 12682	3646 11127	•	 159 555	 5 12	4113 13110	3487 12000	-	626 1110	15 8	
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NO. INMATES ADMITTED TO SEX OFF OFF OFF OFF OFF OFF OFF OFF OFF OF	ANCE ABUSE PROP NISTERED ACY OR ACAD PRO TIONAL/OJT PROG ') BRARY PROGRAMS OUNS/STUDY CLAS	GRMS GS S SSES				52 1050 13500 5000 500 14000 38000 14400	60 737 10905 4687 500 14000 33675 14900	- 2 - + + - 4 +	8 313 595 313 0 0 325 500	15 30 19 6 0 11 3	52 1050 13500 5000 500 16400 38000 14400	52 1050 13500 5000 500 14000 34000 14400	+ + + + + - +	 0 0 0 0 2400 4000		
 NUMBER OF VOLUNTEER HOURS PROV # SENTNCD FELONS COMPLTD PRGRN 						35000 445	42000 NO DATA		000 445	20 100	35000 445	42000 NO DATA	+	7000 445	20 100	

PROGRAM TITLE: CORRECTIONS PROGRAM SERVICES

09 01 01 11 PSD 420

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2007

The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

FY 2008:

The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

- 1. The variance is due to overestimation in the planned number of inmates requiring treatment as sex offenders.
- 2. The variance is due to overestimation in the planned number of inmates who would test positive on the urinalysis tests.
- 5. The variance is due to underestimation in the planned number of inmates that would complete vocational programs. The planned number should have been 65 instead of 0.
- 9. The variance in inmate grievances is due to unavoidable diminished access to the law library and manpower coverage for this program.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

2. The variance is due to the decrease in the annual number of admissions for pretrial felons and pretrial misdemeanants.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

- 1. The variance is due to the underestimation in the number of inmates admitted to sex offender counseling or the treatment programs.
- 2. The variance is due to the increase in staff vacancies for the Department's contracted treatment programs resulted in the decrease in the number of inmates admitted to substance abuse programs.
- 3. The variance is due to problems with the Department's new contracted data system used for tracking urinalysis results and data collection.
- 7. The variance is due to the number of inmates that failed to participate in the law library program because of lack of availability of security and library personnel to staff the programs.

- 9. The variance is due to the underestimation in the number of volunteer hours provided to the inmates.
- 10. No data provided. Program was not able to provide the number of sentenced felons who completed programs or were released four years ago.

HEALTH CARE

PROGRAM-ID: PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010112

PSD-421

	FISCAL '	YEAR 2006-	07	1		THREE MON	ITHS ENDED 09	-30-07	'	ľ	NINE MO	NTHS ENDING	06-30	-08	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	% [BUDGETED	ACTUAL	l ±	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	1 <u>+</u> C	HANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)			 		 			 					 		
DPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	159.60 15,826	135.10 18,740	 - +	24.50 2,914	•	173.10 3,573	151.10 3,573	 - +	22.00 0	 13 0	173.10 13,802	173.10 14,177	 + +	0.00 375	•
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	159.60 15,826	135.10 18,740	 - +	24.50 2,914		173.10 3,573	151.10 3,573	 - +	22.00 0	 13 0	173.10 13,802	173.10 14,177	 + +	0.00 375	•
					I	FISCAL YEAR 2006-07					FIS	CAL YEAR 2007	7-08		
	Ī	PLANNED	ACTUAL	± 0	CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± C	HANGE	%				
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. PERCENT OF OFFENDERS RECEIVING CLINICAL SERVICES 2. PERCENT OF OFFENDERS RECEIVING PSYCHIATRIC SVCS 3. PERCENT OF OFFENDERS RECEIVING DENTAL SERVICES 4. PERCENT OF OFFENDERS RECEIVING CHRONIC CARE SVCS 5. % OF OFFENDERS RECEIVING OUT-OF-FACILITY SERVICES						100 100 100 52 76	100 100 100 52 76	+ + + + +	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	100 100 100 52 76	100 100 100 52 76	+ + + +	0 0 0	
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. AVERAGE FACILITY POPULATION						3487	3611	+	124	4	4113	3487	 -	626	 15
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NUMBER OF MEDICAL PROVIDER ENCO 2. NUMBER OF PSYCHIATRIC ENCOUNTER 3. NUMBER OF DENTAL ENCOUNTERS 4. NUMBER OF DENTAL ENCOUNTERS 5. NUMBER OF CHRONIC CARE ENCOUNT 6. NO. OFFENDERS SEEN FOR NUTRITION 7. NUMBER OF OFFENDERS ADMITTED TO 8. NUMBER OF HOSPITAL ADMISSIONS	RS ERS AL SCREENINGS/E	EVAL			 	16000 30072 19844 14960 3530 1895 1390	16612 31260 47000 14923 3327 1889 315 1440	+ + - - - +	612 1188 27156 37 203 6 1075 1250	4 4 137 0 6 77 658	16000 30072 19844 14960 3530 1895 1390	16612 30072 47000 14923 3327 1890 315 1370	+	612 0 27156 37 203 5 1075 1180	0 137 0 6 77

PROGRAM TITLE: HEALTH CARE

09 01 01 12 PSD 421

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2007:

The expenditure variance is due to increased cost of providing medical services. The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

FY 2008:

The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

No significant variance.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

No significant variance.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

- 3. The variance in the number of nurse encounters resulted from the underestimation of the number of encounters.
- 7. The variance in the number of offenders admitted to infirmaries resulted from the overestimation of the number of pre- and post- hospital stays for cancers and other complex diseases.
- 8. The variance in the number of hospital admissions resulted from the underestimation of the hospital admissions.
- 10. The variance in the number of of offenders receiving transfer screening/discharge summaries resulted in the underestimation of the number of transfer screening/discharge summaries.

PROGRAM TITLE: HAWAII CORRECTIONAL INDUSTRIES

PROGRAM-ID:

PSD-422

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010113

	FISCAL	YEAR 2006-	07		THREE MON	ITHS ENDED 09	-30-0	7	NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-08						
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	% [BUDGETED	ACTUAL	1 ±	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%	
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)] 			·	 					 	·	 	
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)			 		2.00 117	2.00 117	+		0 0 0	2.00 7,218		 + +	0.00 69	•	
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	***		 	 	2.00 117	2.00 117	+	0.00	 0 0	2.00 7,218	2.00 7,287	+	0.00 69	•	
					FISC	AL YEAR 2006-	07		1	FIS	CAL YEAR 2007	'-08			
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u>	CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%	
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. PERCENT OF INMATES EMPLOYED AT 2. % OF INMATES EMPLOYED AT THE FEI					4	4	+	. 0	 0 0	5	5 5	 +	0	 0 0	
3. % INMATES EMPLOYED AT OUT-OF-ST.				l	0	0	1 +	-	01	0	. 0	+ +	0		
4. PERCENT OF INMATES EMPLOYED IN S		71012		i	0.05	38	+		- 1	0.05	5	+	4.95		
5. AMOUNT OF REVENUES GENERATED				j	5000000	5985105	j +	985105	20	6200000	5985105	j -	214895	3	
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP				<u> </u>	0.407	0044	!	404			0.40-				
 AVERAGE NUMBER OF INMATES IN ALI AVERAGE NUMBER OF INMATES IN TH 				!	3487 985	3611 166	+	124 819	83	4113 250	3487 250	-	626 0	15 0	
3. AVE NO. INMATES IN OUT-OF-STATE C				1	2231	2009	-	222	10	2476		+	0		
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY				i	·		i		1						
NUMBER OF PRODUCTION SITES				i	10	14	i +	4	40	12	12	+	0	i 0	
2. NUMBER OF INMATE APPLICATIONS RI	ECEIVED			i	720	3500	+	2780	386	720	720	+	0	0	
NUMBER OF INMATES INTERVIEWED				1	400	1500	+		275	400		+	0	0	
4. NUMBER OF INMATES EMPLOYED				- 1	300	456	+		52	360	360	+	0		
NUMBER OF POSITIONS IN SKILLED TR	RADES				25	190	+		660	. 25	25	+	0	0	
6. NUMBER OF INMATE WORK HOURS	011 1 0 0 1 - 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			ļ	147600	146907	-	693	0	180300	180300	+	0	0	
7. # INMATE WORK HRS LOST DUE TO FA	CIL LOCKDWNS/O	IHER			4320	1640	- 1	2680	62	5277	5277	+	0	1 0	

PROGRAM TITLE: HAWAII CORRECTIONAL INDUSTRIES

09 01 01 13 PSD 422

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2007:

No data. New program ID established by the 2007 Legislature as proposed by the Executive's FB 2008 - 09 Program Structure.

FY 2008:

No significant variance.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

- 4. The variance is due to an underestimation of the percentage of inmates employed in skilled trades.
- 5. The variance is due to underestimation of the amount of revenues generated.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

- 2. The variance is due planned number being based on projected need to house jail inmates.
- 3. The variance is due to fewer inmates being eligible for transfer to contracted facilities.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

- 1. The variance is due to the increased number of worklines for the Department of Transportation and Department of Education.
- 2. The variance is due to an increase number of applications received and an improved mechanism to track the applications that are received.
- The variance for the number of inmates interviewed is due to the increase in number of applications received.
- 4. The variance in the number of inmates employed is due to the increase number of production sites.
- 5. The variance resulted from the underestimation on the number of inmates in skilled trade positions.
- 7. The variance is due to a decrease in the number of facility lockdown and other stoppages. The reduced number of lockdowns translated to fewer inmate work hours lost.

NON-STATE FACILITIES

PROGRAM-ID:

PSD-808

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010114

	FISCAL	YEAR 2006-	07		THREE MO	NTHS ENDED 0	9-30-	07		NINE MO	06-30-08			
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	1	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHA	NGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)	 			! !			 					 		
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)				1	10.00 3,395	8.00 3,395	!	- 2.00 + 0	20 0	10.00 61,731	10.00 61,750	 + +	0.00 19	 0 0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	i I		 	[10.00 3,395	8.00 3,395		- 2.00 + 0	20 0	10.00 61,731	10.00 61,750	+	0.00	•
				L	FISC	CAL YEAR 2006	-07			FIS	CAL YEAR 2007	'-08	·	
				<u> </u>	PLANNED	ACTUAL	1	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHA	NGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. EXEC PGM STRUCTURE CHANGES NOT	APPROVED BY L	≣G.			NO DATA	NO DATA		+ 0	0	NO DATA	NO DATA	+	0	0

PROGRAM TITLE: NON-STATE FACILITIES

09 01 01 14 PSD 808

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2007:

No data. New program ID established by the Legislature.

FY 2008

The position variance is attributed to the program ID being newly established by the Legislature.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

This is a new program ID established by the 2007 Legislature; as such, no measures of effectiveness are available for this program. Data for measures of effectiveness is available upon request.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

This is a new program ID established by the 2007 Legislature; as such, no program target groups are available for this program. Data for program target groups is available upon request.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

This is a new program ID established by the 2007 Legislature; as such, no program activities are available for this program. Data for program activities is available upon request.

VARIANCE REPORT

STATE OF HAWAII PROGRAM TITLE:

ENFORCEMENT

PROGRAM-ID:

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 090102

	FISCA	L YEAR 2006	-07	ı		THREE MONTHS ENDED 09-30-07					NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-08				
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± 0	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	ı	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHA	ANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)	[]		1		I			 				·			[
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	348.00 1 18,503		-	37.00 1,516		378.00 4,119	357.00 4,119	!	- 21.00 + 0	 6 0	378.00 16,057	378.00 16,678	+	0.00 621	
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	348.00 18,503		 - -	37.00 1,516		378.00 4,119	357.00 4,119	!	- 21.00 + 0	6	378.00 16,057	378.00 16,678	+	0.00 621	
					L	FISC	CAL YEAR 2006	6-07			FISCAL YEAR 2007-08				
					Ī	PLANNED	ACTUAL	Ī	+ CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHA	NGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NUMBER OF NEW ARRESTS MADE					Ī	88	3261	1	+ 3173	 3606	94	3300	+	3206	341

Intermediate Level Program
No Narrative
(See Lowest Level Programs for Explanation of Variances)

REPORT V61 11/29/07

PROGRAM TITLE:

NARCOTICS ENFORCEMENT

PROGRAM-ID:

PSD-502

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010202

	FISCAL	YEAR 2006-	07	1	THREE MO	NTHS ENDED 09	-30-07	1	NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-08							
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANG	E %	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANG	E %	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHAN	€ %				
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)			TOTAL STREET STREET													
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	17.00 1 1,397			0 6 6 8 11 1		18.00 344	 + 0.00 + 0			18.00 1,425		00 0 63 5				
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	17.00 1 1,397	16.00 1,249	 - 1.0 - 14	 6	18.00 344	18.00 344	+ 0.00 + (18.00 1,425		00 0 63 5				
						CAL YEAR 2006-0				CAL YEAR 2007						
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. % CERTIFICATES/PEMITS ISSUED WITH 2. % OF CASES THAT RESULTED IN SUCC 3. % OF CASES RELEASED PENDING FUT 4. % CASES REFERRED/ACCEPTED BY PF 5. % CASES REFERRED/DECLINED BY PR 6. PERCENT OF CASES REFERRED TO FE 7. % CRIMINL CASES RSLTD IN ASSET FO 8. % CASES INVESTIGTD & RESOLVD WIC 9. % OF DRUG EDUCATION OR TRAINING 10. % INQUIRIES MADE ON ELECTRONIC R PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. STATE DEFACTO POPULATION 2. NUMBER OF CONTROLLED SUBSTANC 3. NUMBER OF REGULATED CHEMICAL R 4. NUMBER OF MEDICAL USE OF MARIJU, 5. NUMBER OF MEDICAL USE OF MARIJU, 6. NO. PHYSICNS PARTICPTG IN MED USE	CESSFUL PROSECUTION AGE ROSECUTING AGE ROSECUTION ACT ROSECUTION A	ON NCIES CIES PT ION ICTED M			99 95 65 97 3 1 10 55 90 99 1436473 6285 40 2400 219 67	100 75 29 100 0 0 111 49 94 100 1497300 5618 20 3240 293 138	+	1	99 95 60 98 2 1 10 55 93 99	99 75 5 98 2 1 8 40 94 99 1514400 5600 20 3500 300 150	- + + + + + 574 - 5 - + 10	0 0 20 21 55 92 0 0 0 0 2 20 15 27 1 1 0 0				
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. #CONTR SUBS/REG CHM/ORAL/MJ RG 2. TOTAL NO. CASES THAT RESULTED IN 3. TOTAL NO. CASES REFERRD/ACCEPTE 4. TOTAL NO. CASES REFERRD/DECLND ID 5. TOTAL NUMBER OF CASES REFERRED 6. NO. CASES INVESTGTD FROM HIA/COR 7. NUMBER OF REGULATORY ACTIONS TA 8. NO. OF EDUCATIONAL AND TRAINING S 9. NO. OF FORENSIC DRUG ANALYSIS CO 10. #CNTRLLD SUBS RX PROCSSD BY ELE	STRNS/PRMTS PROSUCCESSFUL PRODUCTED BY PROSECUTG A BY PROSECUTG A TO FEDERAL AGE REPORTED FOR THE STREET OF THE SESSIONS CONDUCTED BY NED	CSSD DSEC AGENC AGENC NCIES ER CTED LAB			5700 114 111 3 5 650 185 60 300 960000	8717 61 81 0 55 475 351 77 0	+ 3017 - 53 - 30 - 3 + 50 - 175 + 166 + 17 - 300 + 49991	53 46 27 100 1000 27 90 28 100	5800 120 117 3 5 675 185 60 700 966000	8800 60 90 3 60 650 200 75 25	+ 30 - - + + + +	 00 52 60 50 27 23 0 0 55 1100 25 4 15 8 15 25 75 96				

PROGRAM TITLE: NARCOTICS ENFORCEMENT

09 01 02 02 PSD 502

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2007:

The expenditure variance is due to delays in the implementation of the prescription monitoring grant.

FY 2008:

No significant variance.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

- 2. The variance is due to the number of referrals made to the Federal and State system. The variance does not accurately measure the division's effectiveness in relation to the prosecution of the case but that of the prosecuting agency. NED's cases may result in successful prosecution two years after State and County prosecutors charged the cases.
- 3. The variance is due to the number of cases still actively being investigated, suspects being released from custody pending further investigation, or cases referred to another law enforcement agency due to jurisdiction on prior involvement with suspects.
- 5. The variance is due to overestimation of the cases referred/declined by prosecuting agencies.
- 6. The variance is due to overestimation of the percent of cases referred to federal agencies.
- 7. The variance is due to underestimation of the percent of criminal cases resulted in asset forfeiture/received by the Department.
- 8. The variance is due to overestimation of the percent of cases investigated and resolved without criminal action.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

- 2. The variance is due to an overestimate of possible growth in the number of medical professionals handling the controlled substances.
- 3. The variance is due to a decrease in the number of over-the-counter sales of ephedrine and phenylpropanelamine products due to stricter regulations.
- 4. The variance is due to an increase in the number of registered patients on the islands of Hawaii, Maui, and Oahu using marijuana.

- 5. The variance is due to an increase in the number of patient caregivers on the islands of Hawaii, Kauai. Molokai, and Oahu that provided services to the inmates.
- 6. The variance is due to a significant increase in the number of patients on the islands of Hawaii, Maui, and Oahu that resulted in physicians treating patients in the medical use of marijuana program.

- 1. The variance is due to an increase in the number of registered patients for the medical use of marijuana.
- 2. The variance is due to the decreased number of successful prosecutions by the County or Federal prosecution offices. The performance standard does not accurately measure NED's effectiveness in relations to the prosecution of the cases, but measures the effectiveness of the prosecuting agency.
- 3. The variance is due to overestimation of the projected numbers of cases referred and accepted by the prosecuting agencies.
- 4. The variance is due to the cases being dismissed based on problems with service of warrants on suspects.
- 5. The variance is due to NED's two investigators conducting all 55 cases through the U.S. Attorney's office for prosecution.
- 6. The variance is due to underestimation of the projected numbers of criminal cases from the Honolulu International Airport, correctional facilities, and other locations.
- 7. The variance is due to an increase in new rules concerning regulatory cases investigated.
- 8. The variance is due to an increase in the number of drug education or training sessions conducted by NED. NED conducted 77 drug and clandestine laboratory presentations that was attended by 7,436 individuals, as well as, coverage by the media.
- 9. The variance is due to an overestimation of the number of forensic drug analysis conducted by NED lab.

PROGRAM TITLE: PROGRAM-ID:

SHERIFF

PSD-503

	FISCAL	YEAR 2006-	07	I		THREE MO	NTHS ENDED 09	9-30-0	7		NINE MO	NTHS ENDING	06-30-08		
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u>	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHA	NGE	%
ART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS	I				İ										
POSITIONS	! 		i		1			i	: 	1		ļ	i	ļ	i
EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)	i ·		İ	į	i			İ	i	i		i	1	i	ı
PERATING COSTS										- 1			1		l
POSITIONS	331.00	295.00	Ì -	36.00	11 [360.00	339.00	j -	21.00	6	360.00	360.00	j +	0.00 j	İ
EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	17,106	15,738	-	1,368	8	3,775	3,775	+	0	0	14,695	15,253	 +	558	l
TOTAL COSTS															
POSITIONS	331.00	295.00	-	36.00	11	360.00	339.00	-	21.00	6	360.00	360.00	+	0.00	ĺ
EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	17,106	15,738	-	1,368	8	3,775	3,775	+	0	0	14,695	15,253	+	558	l
					L	FIS	CAL YEAR 2006-	-07		Ī	FIS	CAL YEAR 2007	-08		
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u>	CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	+ CHAI	IGE	%
ART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS	OUDELIEO				Γ			Ţ		100					
AVERAGE RESPONSE TIME FOR ALL IN PERCENT OF GRAND JURY AND HPA W						2	NO DATA	-	2	100	2	NO DATA	-	2	10
3. PERCENT OF TRAFFIC WARRANTS SEF		יט			1	80 25	88 44	+	8 19	10 76	80 25	90 45	+ +	10	1
PERCENT OF TRAFFIC WARRANTS SEE PERCENT OF THREATS INVESTIGATED					!	100	100	T	0	01	100	45 100	+ +	20	8

		FIS	CAL YEAR 2006-0)/			FIS0	CAL YEAR 2007	-08		
		PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u>	CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± C	HANGE	%
PART	II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS			1							
1.	AVERAGE RESPONSE TIME FOR ALL INCIDENTS	2	NO DATA	-	2	100	2	NO DATA	-	2	100
2.	PERCENT OF GRAND JURY AND HPA WARRANTS SERVED	80	88	+	8	10	80	90	+	10	13
3.	PERCENT OF TRAFFIC WARRANTS SERVED	25	44	+	19	76	25	45	+	20	80
4.	PERCENT OF THREATS INVESTIGATED	100	100	+	0	0	100	100	+	0	0
PART	III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP			1						1	
1.	STATE DEFACTO POPULATION	1436473	1497300	+	60827	4	1456960	1514400	+	57440	4
2.	NUMBER OF STATE DEPARTMENTS	21	21	+	0	0	21	21	+	0 j	0
3.	NUMBER OF STATE COURTHOUSES	15	15	+	0	0	15	15	+	0	0
4.	# PERSONS IN CUSTODY REQ DETENTN/TRANSPRT/PROCESS	NO DATA	42215	+	42215	0	NO DATA	42215	+ .	42215	0
PART	IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY			1 .				-			
1.	NUMBER OF SERVICE TYPE CASES	2100	1551	-	549	26	2121	1600	-	521	25
2.	NUMBER OF CRIMINAL CASES RECEIVED	883	1434	+	551	62	945	2600	+	1655	175
3.	NUMBER OF ARREST INCIDENTS	[88	3261	+	3173	3606	94	3300	+	3206	3411
4.	NO. THREATS AGNST GOVT OFFICLS/STATE GOVT EMPLYEES	4	4	+	. 0	0	4	5	+	1	25
5.	NUMBER OF GRAND JURY AND HPA WARRANTS RECEIVED	789	1233	+	444	56	789	1300	+	511	65
6.	NO. PERSONS DETAINED IN DISTRICT & CIRCUIT COURTS	36090	34817	-	1273	4	37895	35000	-	2895	8
7.	NUMBER OF CUSTODY TRANSPORTS	14108	4137	-	9971	71	14813	4100	-	10713	72
8.	NUMBER OF TRAFFIC WARRANTS RECEIVED	24310	16772	-	7538	31	25526	17000	-	8526	33
9.	NUMBER OF TRAFFIC CITATIONS ISSUED	150	3336	+	3186	2124	158	3400	+	3242	2052

PROGRAM TITLE: SHERIFF

09 01 02 03 PSD 503

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2007:

The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

FY 2008:

No significant variance.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

- 1. No data provided. Sheriff Division was not able to provide the average response time (in minutes) for all incidents.
- 2. The variance is due to an increase in the number of warrants issued by the courts and Hawaii Paroling Authority (HPA).
- 3. The variance is due to an increase in the number of traffic bench arrest warrants served.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

No signficant variance.

- 1. The variance is due to overestimation in the number of service type cases. The Sheriff Division does not have any control over the number of service type calls received.
- 2. The variance is due to underestimation in the number of criminal cases received. The Sheriff Division does not have any control over the number of criminal cases received.
- 3. The variance is due to a change in the methodology of measurements in the number of arrests.
- 5. The variance is due to underestimation in the number of warrants issued by the courts and HPA for service. The Sheriff Division does not have any control over the number of warrants issued.
- 7. The variance is due to overestimation of the planned number. The Sheriff Division does not have any control over the number of required transports. The custody transports are based on court orders for person(s) held in state correctional facilities, state hospitals, juvenile detention centers, or other court ordered facilities to be transported to court hearings.

- 8. The variance is due to overestimation of the planned number. The Sheriff Division does not have any control over the number of traffic warrants issued by the Judiciary.
- 9. The variance is due to a change in the methodology of measurements in the number of traffic citations issued for traffic crimes, traffic infractions, parking violations, and miscellaneous crimes.

PAROLE SUPERVISION AND COUNSELING

PROGRAM TITLE: PROGRAM-ID:

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 090103

	FISCAL	YEAR 2006	-07	I		THREE MON	ITHS ENDED 09	9-30-0	7	l	NINE MC	NTHS ENDING	06-30-08	3	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CH	IANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	1 ±	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CH/	ANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS			1					Ť						$\overline{}$	_
ESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS			I	ĺ	i			Ĺ		i i				1	
POSITIONS			1	Ī	j			İ		i i				ĺ	
EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)			l	ĺ	ĺ			ĺ		l i		İ		ĺ	
PERATING COSTS											**			1	
POSITIONS	58.00	50.00	i -	8.00	14	58.00	54.00	· i -	4.00	7	58.00	58.00	+	0.00	
EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	3,480	3,359	j -	121	3	637	637	- j +	. 0	o j	3,135	3,233	+	98	
TOTAL COSTS			1	j										T	
POSITIONS	58.00	50.00	j-	8.00	14	58.00	54.00	i -	4.00	7	58.00	58.00	+	0.00	
EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	3,480	3,359	j -	121	3	637	637	j +	- 0	i oi	3,135	3,233	+	98 į	
						FISC	AL YEAR 2006	-07		1	FIS	CAL YEAR 2007	'-08		
						DLANNED	ACTUAL	1 .	CHANCE	0/ +	DI ANNED	ESTIMATED		ANCE I	7

1	FISC	AL YEAR 2006-	-07	FISC	CAL YEAR 2007	-08
	PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE %	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE %
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS						
NUMBER OF PAROLE VIOLATORS RETURNED TO PRISON	375	264	- 111 30	375	277	- 98 26
2. PERCENT OF INMATES GRANTED EARLY PAROLE RELEASE	5	. 11	+ 6 120	5	10	+ 5 100
3. AV TIME ON PAROLE BEFORE FINAL DISCHARGE (YRS)	5	4	- 1 20	5	4	- 1 20
4. UNEMPLOYMENT RATE AMONG PAROLEES	6	45	+ 39 650	6	47	+ 41 683

Intermediate Level Program
No Narrative
(See Lowest Level Programs for Explanation of Variances)

PROGRAM TITLE:

ADULT PAROLE DETERMINATIONS

PROGRAM-ID: PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010301

PSD-611

REPORT V61 11/29/07

	FISCAL	YEAR 2006-	07	i		THREE MON	ITHS ENDED 09	-30-07			NINE MO	NTHS ENDING	06-30-0)8	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	l ± C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CH	IANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)			-	 	 			 					 	-	
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	3.00 238	3.00 238	•	0.00 0.00 0	0 0 0	3.00 48	3.00 48	 + +	0.00	 0 0	3.00 190	3.00 190	 + +	0.00	•
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	3.00 238	3.00 238	 + +	0.00	0 0	3.00 48	3.00 48	 + +	0.00	0 0	3.00 190	3.00 190	+ +	0.00	•
						FISC	CAL YEAR 2006-	07		l	FIS	CAL YEAR 2007	7-08		
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CH	IANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. PERCENT OF INMATES GRANTED EARL 2. AV LENGTH OF TIME BEFORE NEXT PA						5 11	11 11	+	6 0	 120 0	5 11	10 11	 + +	5 0	 100 0
3. AV TIME ON PAROLE BEFORE FINAL DI: 4. % INMATES GRANTED PAROLE AT EXP 5. NUMBER OF PAROLE VIOLATORS RETU	SCHARGE (YRS) RATN OF MIN SEN	, ITCE			 	5 50 375	4 27 258	- -	1 23 117	20 46 31	5 50 375	4 28 270	- - -	1 22 105	20 44
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. AV NO. OF SENTENCED FELONS IN STA 2. NUMBER OF PAROLEES UNDER HAWAI		ΞM				3649 2200	3571 2661	 - +	78 461	2	3758 2200	3678 2790	 - +	80 590	2
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NUMBER OF MINIMUM SENTENCES FIXI 2. # PERSONS CONSIDERED FOR PAROLE 3. NUMBER OF PAROLES GRANTED 4. NUMBER OF PAROLES DENIED 5. NUMBER OF PAROLES REVOKED 6. NO. OF APPL FOR REDUCTN OF MIN SE 7. NUMBER OF PARDON APPLICATIONS C 8. NUMBER OF PAROLEES REVIEWED FOI	AT MIN EXPRTN I					2530 1396 646 914 327 162 66 224	3159 1669 837 1056 264 148 38	+ + + - -	629 273 191 142 63 14 28 123	25 20 30 16 19 9 42	2530 1396 646 914 327 162 66 224	3100 1752 878 1100 277 53 40	+ + + +	570 356 232 186 50 109 26	23 26 36 20 15 67 39 51

PROGRAM TITLE: ADULT PAROLE DETERMINATIONS

09 01 03 01 PSD 611

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2007:

No significant variance.

FY 2008:

No significant variance.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

- 1. The variance is due to underestimate in percent of inmate granted early parole release.
- 3. The variance is due to overestimation of the average on parole before the final discharge (in years).
- 4. The variance is due to the Parole Board's approval for only the inmates that have completed the instructions provided to them.
- 5. The variance is due to the increased supervision and community support parolees are receiving.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

2. The variance is due to the increased supervision, community support and more offenders being released to continue on parole. Also, the Parole Board amended the terms and conditions of parole by adding the requirement for parolees to participate in community-based programs as a condition of their release.

- 1. The variance is due to underestimation of the number of minimum sentences fixed.
- 2. The variance is due to the increased number of inmates who were eligible for parole.
- 3. The variance in the number of inmates granted parole is greater than the planned number due to inmates completing the recommended programs prior to their initial parole consideration hearing.
- 4. The variance in the number of paroles being denied is due to a larger number of inmates not completing all of the recommended programs prior to their initial parole consideration hearing.

- 5. The variance is due to Parole Officers and funding available for community-based programs being used when appropriate in lieu of returning a parole violator to prison.
- 6. The variance in the number of applications for reduction of minimum sentences is due to fewer inmates applying than originally planned.
- 7. The variance is due to reduced number of applications for pardons filed by inmates.
- 8. The variance in the number of parole discharges reviewed is due to an increased number of parolees who are repeat offenders, committed multiple offenses, have violent and/or long have long criminal histories. These parolees need more supervision and counseling before any parole discharge review is conducted.
- 9. There were no informal interviews conducted by the Parole Board. The Parole Board's belief is that informal interviews should be granted as an exception rather than a rule.

REPORT V61 11/29/07

PROGRAM TITLE:

ADULT PAROLE SUPERVISION & COUNSELING

PROGRAM-ID: PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010302

PSD-612

	FISCAL	YEAR 2006-	07	١		THREE MON	NTHS ENDED 09-	30-07	,		NINE MO	NTHS ENDING	06-30-	08	I
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CI	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	1 ±	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> Cl	HANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)	 		 	 	1								 	 	
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	55.00 3,242	47.00 3,121	 - -	8.00 121		55.00 589	51.00 589	 - +	4.00 0	 7 0		55.00 3,043	+ +	0.00 98	0 0 3
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	55.00 3,242	47.00 3,121	- - -	8.00 121	•		51.00 589	 - +	4.00 0	7 0		55.00 3,043	+	0.00 98	
					L		CAL YEAR 2006-0					CAL YEAR 2007			
DADT II. MEACHDEO OF FEECTIVENECO					ļ	PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u> </u>	CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> Cl	HANGE	<u></u>
1. % RECOM RELATG TO PAROLE RELEAS					 	77 375	44 264	 - -	33 111	43 30	77 375	46 277	- -	31 98	40 26
						121788	80794	-	40994	34	121788	84800	-	36988	30
	•)			1	4 6	4 45	+	0 39	0 650	4 6	4 47	+	0 41	0 683
 NO. PAROLEES UNDER HAWAII JURISD NO. PAROLEES UNDER HAWAII JURISD 	TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS SOURCE POSITIONS SOURCE POSITIONS SOURCE POSITIONS SOURCE POSITIONS SOURCE POSITIONS SOURCE POSITIONS SOURCE SOURCE POSITIONS SOURCE S									69 16 23	176 140 1599	56 170 2050	 - +	120 30 451	68 21 28
4. AV NO. OF SENTENCED INMATES IN ST	ATE PRISON SYST	EM			- 1	3649	3571	-	78	2	3758	3678	-	80	2
						1617	2023	+	406	 25	1617	2120	+	503	 31
NUMBER OF ARREST WARRANTS ISSU					!	370	386	+	16	4	370	400	+	30	8
 NUMBER OF PAROLE DISCHARGES RE NUMBER OF PARDON INVESTIGATIONS 						227 66	101 38	-	126 28	56 42	22 7 66	106 39	-	121 27	53 41
5. NUMBER OF INTERSTATE COMPACT AC					l i	120	56 54	-	66	42 55	120	56 I	- -	64	53
6. NUMBER OF PAROLEES UNDER SPECIA		ON ·			i	280	168	i -	112	40	280	176	_	104	37
7. NUMBER OF PAROLEES UNDER INTENS	SIVE SUPERVISION	1			i	70	20	j -	50	71	70	21	-	49	70
8. NUMBER OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING	GS CONDUCTED				ĺ	0	414	+	414	0	0	430	+	430	0

PROGRAM TITLE: ADULT PAROLE SUPERVISION & COUNSELING

09 01 03 02 PSD 612

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2007:

The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

FY 2008:

No significant variance.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

- 1. The variance is due to a greater number of inmates not completing all of the recommended programs prior to appearing before the Parole Board for their initial parole consideration hearing.
- 2. The variance is due to Parole Officers undergoing training to identify and address factors that result in recidivism. With training, Parole Officers were able to provide additional community-based substance abuse treatment and job development services for the parole population, resulting in fewer violators.
- 3. The variance is due to a concerted effort on the part of the Hawaii Paroling Authority (HPA) and Crime Victim Compensation Committee to increase the amount of restitution collected from the parole population and paid to the victims and/or their families.
- 5. The variance is due to underestimate in the unemployment rate among parolees.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

- 1. The variance is due to the overestimate in the number of parolees in Hawaii from other jurisdictions.
- 2. The variance is due to underestimate in the number of Hawaii parolees who were being supervised by another state.
- 3. The variance is due to underestimate of the number of parolees who were under the jurisdiction of HPA and being supervised in Hawaii.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

1. The number of pre-parole investigations conducted exceeded planned due to requests for additional investigations to be completed regarding housing for inmates upon their release.

- 3. The variance in the number of parole discharges recommended directly correlates to the Parole Board's policy of requiring repeat and/or violent offenders to remain under parole supervision for longer periods of time. This resulted in the Parole Officers submitting less early discharge recommendations to the Parole Board.
- 4. The variance in the number of pardon applications considered is due to fewer requests for pardon consideration. Therefore, fewer investigations were completed than planned.
- 5. The variance is due to the overestimation on the number of parolees from other jurisdictions. The strengthening of the Interstate Compact Rules and strict enforcement of the rules resulted in a number of parolees being returned to the sending State following parole violations in the State of Hawaii.
- 6. The variance is due to a work force shortage in the specialized supervision staff causing some parolees to be supervised by officers in other units. Also, some parolees previously determined to be "high risk" were being assessed for lower levels of supervision.
- 7. The variance in the decreased number of persons under intensive parole supervision is due to a work force shortage in the intensive supervision staff causing some parolees to be supervised by officers in other units.
- 8. The variance in the increased numbers reflected the continuing work being done by the Parole Officers to ensure the safety to the community. Parolees posing a threat to the community as well those abiding by the laws were processed accordingly. In addition, requests for reduction of minimum sentences were being processed in a more timely manner due to the cooperation between the facilities and HPA.

REPORT V61 11/29/07

PROGRAM TITLE:

CRIME VICTIM COMPENSATION COMMISSION

PROGRAM-ID: PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 090104

PSD-613

	FISCAL	YEAR 2006-	07			THREE MOI	NTHS ENDED 09	30-07			NINE MO	NTHS ENDING	06-30-	-08	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	±0	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)	 		 					 							
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	7.00 2,591	6.00 1,534	 - -	1.00 1,057		8.00 437	8.00 437	 + +	0.00 0 0	0 0 0	8.00 2,257	8.00 2,282	 + +	0.00 25	 0 1
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	7.00 2,591	6.00 1,534	 - -	1.00 1,057		8.00 437	8.00 437	 + +	0.00	0 0	8.00 2,257	8.00 2,282	 + +	0.00 25	0 1
					L		CAL YEAR 2006-0					CAL YEAR 2007	7-08		
DART II. MEAGURES OF FEFOTIVENESS					Ļ	PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u> ± 0</u>	CHANGE	<u>% </u>	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u> + C</u>	HANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. AV TIME FM DATE APP RCV TO DATE D 2. AV TIME FROM AWARD TO DATE PURC 3. PERCENT OF CLAIMANTS WHO RECEIV 4. AVERAGE COMPENSATION AWARD MA	H ORDR PREPRD (/ED COMPENSATIO	(WKS)			 	16 4 75 1500	18 4 89 1500	 + + +	1 2 0 14 0	13 0 19 0	16 4 75 1500	16 4 80 1500	 + + +	0 0 5 0	 0 0 7
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. # PERSONS STATEWIDE WHO MAY BE		NSATN	·		1	1376840	1497300	 +	120460	9	1319140	1319140	 +	0	1
5. NUMBER OF ADMINISTRATIVE MEETING	IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY NUMBER OF CLAIMS RECEIVED DOLLAR VALUE OF CLAIMS RECEIVED									12 100 33 126 33 16	800 1000000 6 600 6 200	800 NO DATA 6 1400 6 200	 + - 1 + +	0 000000 0 800 0	j 0

PROGRAM TITLE: CRIME VICTIM COMPENSATION COMMISSION

09 01 04 PSD 613

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2007:

The expenditure variance is due to less than anticipated expenditure for the victim of crime act federal grant.

The position variance is due to employee turnover.

FY 2008:

No significant variance.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

- 1. The variance is due to the increased efficiencies in processing claim applications.
- 3. The variance is due to the Commission's attempts to work with eligible victims to ensure the claims are promptly received and accurate for payment.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

No significant variance.

- 1. The variance is due to the Commission not being able to perform extensive outreach programs and retraining the agencies that deal with crime victims.
- 2. No data provided. Program was not able to provide the dollar value of claims received.
- 3. The variance is due to underestimate in the number of hearings held by the Commissioners.
- 4. The variance is due to the Commission's efficiency to work with the crime victims to ensure applications are filed promptly and accurately.
- 5. The variance is due to administrative hearings being held in conjunction with appeal hearings. The Commissioners meet to resolve policy issues relative to the Commission's business; during this period, there were no pressing issues to resolve.
- 6. The variance is due to the Commission working to ensure that eligible victim's application are filed promptly and accurately so the claims are not rejected.

PROGRAM TITLE: GENERAL SUPPORT - CRIMINAL ACTION

2. AV TIME TO COMPLETE PAYMENT TRANSACTIONS (DAYS)

3. % DEPT'L EMPLOYEES COMPLETING TSD TRAING SESSIONS

PROGRAM-ID:

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 090105

REPORT V61 11/29/07

οj

0 |

0 j

0 |

25 | +

80 | +

25.

80

	1	FISCAL	YEAR 2006	07			THREE MON	THS ENDED 0	9-3	0-07		NINE MC	NTHS ENDING	06-30	-08	
	Ī	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	Ι±	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	I	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	<u> </u>	HANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)	 			 					 							
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)		212.10 85,400	194.10 74,445	 - -	18.00 10,955		204.10 4,709	194.10 4,386	 	- 10.00 - 323	 5 7	204.10 14,846	194.10 15,280	 - +	10.00 434	 5 3
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)		212.10 85,400	194.10 74,445	 - -	18.00 10,955		204.10 4,709	194.10 4,386	1	- 10.00 - 323	5 7	204.10 14,846	194.10 15,280	- +	10.00 434	•
;						ı	FISC	CAL YEAR 2006	-07			FIS	CAL YEAR 2007	'-08		
						Ī	PLANNED	ACTUAL	Ī	+ CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. PERCENTAGE OF VACANCIES FILLED] 	. 50	26		- 24	48	50	50	+	0	

25

80

26

69

1 | 4 |

11 | 14 |

Intermediate Level Program
No Narrative
(See Lowest Level Programs for Explanation of Variances)

PROGRAM TITLE:

GENERAL ADMINISTRATION

PROGRAM-ID:

PSD-900

	FISCAL	YEAR 2006-	07	l		THREE MON	ITHS ENDED 09	-30-07	' J		NINE MO	NTHS ENDING	06-30	-08	
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C 	HANGE	% 	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> (CHANGE	% 	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	158.10 79,375	140.10 70,186	 - -	18.00 9,189		146.10 3,593	136.10 3,593	 - +	10.00 0	7 0	146.10 9,717	136.10 10,081	- +	10.00 364	7 4
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	158.10 79,375	140.10 70,186	 - -	18.00 9,189		146.10 3,593	136.10 3,593	-	10.00 0	7 0	146.10 9,717	136.10 10,081	<u>-</u> +	10.00 364	7
					Ļ		AL YEAR 2006-				FIS	CAL YEAR 2007	-08		
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS					<u> </u>	PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u> ± 0</u>	CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CI	HANGE	%
 PERCENT OF VACANCIES FILLED PERCENT OF DELEGATED POSITION AC AV TIME TO COMPLETE PAYMENT TRAN "DEPT'L EMPLOYEES COMPLETING TS "INTERNL INVSTGTNS CLOSED BY INS "INTERNL INVSTGTNS COMPLETED BY "ADA COMPLNTS INVSTGTD/CLOSED AS "HARASSMNT/DISCRMNTN COMPLNTS "ACTIVE CIP PROJCTS ON/AHEAD OF 	ISACTIONS (DAYS ID TRAING SESSIC P & INVSTGTN OF INTERNAL AFFAII AFTER ACTION TA INVSTGTD/CLOSI) DNS FC RS KEN ED				50 90 25 80 50 95 85 85 25	26 90 26 69 87 95 70 72	- + - + + - -	24 0 1 11 37 0 15 13 1	48 0 4 14 74 0 18 15 4	50 90 25 80 50 95 90 90	50 90 25 80 85 95 85 85 25	+ + + + + +	0 0 0 0 35 0 5 0	0 0 0 70 6 6
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. NUMBER OF DEPARTMENTAL EMPLOYE 2. NUMBER OF CORRECTIONAL FACILITIE 3. STATE DEFACTO POPULATION 4. AVERAGE INMATE POPULATION					 	2489 8 1436473 6839	2590 8 1497300 6045	+ + -	101 0 60827 794	4 0 4 12	2489 8 1456960 6839	2590 8 1456960 6264	+ + +	101 0 0 575	4 0 0 8
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NUMBER OF NEW REQUESTS TO FILL V 2. NUMBER OF DELEGATED POSITION ACT 3. NUMBER OF FISCAL TRANSACTIONS PF 4. #TIME SHEETS PROC FOR OT & EMERC 5. NUMBER OF TRAINING SESSIONS COND 6. #INTERNAL INVSTGTNS RCVD BY INSP 7. NO. INTERNAL INVSTGTNS INITIATD BY 8. NUMBER OF ADA COMPLAINTS FILED 9. NO. OF HARASSMENT/DISCRIMINATION 10. NUMBER OF ACTIVE CIP PROJECTS AS	TIONS RECEIVED COCESSED PER DA HIRES PER MON' DUCTED HINVSTGTN OFFO HINTERNL AFFAIRS COMPLAINTS FILE	гн			 	230 660 305 4000 NO DATA 200 300 10 45	194 452 325 4010 905 153 149 10 36	-	36 208 20 10 905 47 151 0 9	16 32 7 0 0 24 50 0 20	230 660 305 4000 NO DATA 200 300 8 40	230 450 350 4500 1000 150 8 40 8	+ - + + + + + +	0 210 45 500 1000 50 150 0	 0 32 15 13 0 25 50 0 0

PROGRAM TITLE: GENERAL ADMINISTRATION

09 01 05 01 PSD 900

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2007:

The expenditure variance is due to less expenditure incurred by the non-general fund program under general administration.

The position variance is due to employee turnover.

FY 2008:

No significant variance.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

- 1. The variance is due to difficulty in filling Social Worker, Human Services Professional, Adult Corrections Officers, and Registered Nurses positions.
- 4. The variance in the decreased number of completed Training and Staff Development (TSD) training sessions is due to various significant factors including, but not limited to, budgetary constraints and inadequacy of manpower resources.
- 5. The variance is due to underestimation in the percent of internal investigations closed by the Inspection and Investigation Office.
- 7. The variance is due to overestimation in the percent when ADA complaints were closed after actions are taken.
- 8. The variance is due to overestimation in the percent when harassment/discrimination complaints are closed.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

4. The variance is due to over projection in the number of jail inmates.

- 1. The variance is due to the decreased number of new requests to fill vacancies from the programs.
- 2. The variance is due to overestimation in the planned number of delegated position actions received.
- 6. The variance is due to overestimation in the number of internal investigations received by the Inspection and Investigation Office.

- 7. The variance is due to overestimation in the planned number of internal investigations initiated by the Internal Affairs Office. A realistic planned number is 150.
- 9. The variance is due to the change in the measuring system from cases initiated to cases assigned during the fiscal year.
- 10. The variance is due to underestimation in the planned number of active Capital Improvement Program (CIP) projects.

STATE CRIMINAL JUSTICE INFO & IDENTIFICATION

PROGRAM TITLE: PROGRAM-ID:

ATG-231

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010502

	FISCAL	YEAR 2006-	07			THREE MO	NTHS ENDED 09	-30-07		NINE MC	NTHS ENDING	06-30	-08	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANG	E %	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)	 		 					 				 		
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	54.00 6,025		 + -	0.00 1,766		58.00 1,116	58.00 793	+ 0.00 - 323		58.00 5,129	58.00 5,199	 + +	0.00 [70 [0 1
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	54.00 6,025		 + -	0.00 1,766		58.00 1,116	58.00 793	+ 0.00 - 323		58.00 5,129	58.00 5,199	+	0.00 70	 0 1
•					Ļ		CAL YEAR 2006-I				CAL YEAR 2007			
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. %CIV ID APPCT SVD MONTH MAIN OFF 2. AV# DAYS REQUIRD TO COMPLETE EX 3. AV # DAYS TO ENTER DISPOSITION DA 4. % COMPLETE DISPOSITIONS ON CJIS-I 5. % OF ELIGIBLE SEX OFFENDERS THAT 6. % REG SEX OFFENDERS WHO COMPL* 7. AV# DAYS TO COMPLETE CRIM HIS RE 8. AV# DAYS FOR CRIM FINGERPRTS TO 9. % MONTHLY LATENT FINGERPRT/PALM PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. PERSONS WITH CRIMINAL RECORDS 2. PERSONS WITH EXPUNGEABLE RECORD 3. PERSONS WITH STATE ID CARDS 4. NO. CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES SVE	PUNGEMENT PRO TA PER SEGMENT HAWAII REGISTERED Y WIVER PROCESS CORD CHK REQUE BE RECVD BY FBI MPRT HITS	CESS STS				PLANNED 75 90 31 93 35 75 5 10 35 475000 247000 570000 95	79 114 28 93 40 76 5 26 NO DATA 477948 251400 584122 92	± CHANG + 44 + 24 - 3 + 5 + 16 - 35 + 2948 + 4400 + 14122	5 27 10 0 14 1 0 160 100 1 1 2 2	75 90 31 93 35 75 5 10 35 475000 247000 570000	75 100 31 93 40 76 5 26 35 485000 255000 664000 95	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	0 11 0 0 14 1 0
 CJIS-HAWAII USERS PERSONS WITH ELIGIBLE SEX OFFEND 	•	,			į	3600 6500	3714 6269	+ 114 - 231	j 3 j		3700 6300	+	100 200	3
7. NUMBER OF AGENCIES USING AFIS					j	6	6	j + 0			6	+	0	0
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. #REG SEX OFFENDRS REQURING QTF 2. #PUB ACCWEB TRANSACTNS CONDUCT 3. # OF INQUIRY TRANSACTIONS CONDUCT 4. # OF EXPUNGEMENT REQUESTS PROCT 5. # OF STATE ID CARDS ISSUED ANNUAL 6. #NAME-BASED APPLICANT RECORD CI 7. #FINGERPRT-BASED APPLICANT RECORD 8. # CRIMINAL FINGERPRINTS PROCESSE 9. #LATENT FINGER/PALM PRINT SEARCH 10. #CJIS-HAWAII RECS INDEXED ON INTE	CTED ON CJIS-HAV CTED ON CJIS-HAV CESSED/DENIED LLY HECKS PROCESSE DRD CHKS PROCES ED THRU AFIS HES DONE THRU AI	VAII D SSED				2400 5500000 1200000 1200 80000 4500 25000 35000 10000	2555 7097016 1196039 1195 75078 6946 20773 37000 NO DATA 29000	+ 155 + 1597016 - 3961 - 5 - 4922 + 2446 - 4227 + 2000 - 10000 + 11000	29 0 0 6 54 17 6	2400 5500000 1200000 1200 80000 4500 25000 35000 10000 23000	2575 7000000 1200000 1200 80000 6900 25000 37000 10000 32000	+ 1 + 1 + + + + + +	175 1500000 0 0 0 2400 2000 9000	

PROGRAM TITLE: STATE CRIMINAL JUSTICE INFO & IDENTIFICATION

09 01 05 02 ATG 231

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY07 Actual Expenditures: The \$1,766,000 difference between the budgeted and actual expenditures occurred because significantly less than anticipated was spent out of one nongeneral fund account - S210, which covers the National Criminal History Record Improvement Program (NCHIP). No actual funds lapsed because the federal grant funds are drawn down only as expenditures are incurred for this multi-year federal grant. Due to agency project delays on the part of agencies participating in the projects being funded by the NCHIP grant, less than the anticipated amount has been spent.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 2 (AV# DAYS REQUIRD TO COMPLETE EXPUNGEMENT PROCESS): The increase in this area is due to the increase in the number of charges that need disposition research before expunging and the delays in receiving arrest property from the police departments.

Item 5 (% OF ELIGIBLE SEX OFFENDERS THAT REGISTERED): The increase in this area is a result of our department's focus on the enforcement of the sex offender registration statute and the reduction of non-compliant offenders/registrants.

Item 8 (AV# DAYS FOR CRIM FINGERPRTS TO BE RECVD BY FBI): This measure is an average number from the FBI combining the electronic submissions and the manual paper card submissions. Because we had expected all fingerprints to be submitted electronically to the FBI during FY07, we had expected this number to be lower. However, most of Honolulu and all of Maui were still submitting paper cards at the end of FY07, and therefore, it takes longer for those to be received by the FBI.

Item 9 (% MONTHLY LATENT FINGERPRT/PALMPRT HITS): The reporting capability for the Statewide AFIS has yet to be delivered and therefore, we are unable to extract the appropriate counts at this time.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

No significant variances.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 2 (#PUB ACC/WEB TRANSACTNS CONDUCTED ON CJIS-HAWAII): The increase in this area is a reflection of the public's desire to obtain criminal history record information quickly and from the privacy of their own home/office.

Item 6 (#NAME-BASED APPLICANT RECORD CHECKS PROCESSED): The increase in

this area is again a reflection of the public's desire to obtain criminal history record information.

Item 7 (#FINGERPRT-BASED APPLICANT RECORD CHKS PROCESSED): It is difficult to determine why the number of fingerprint-based checks have decreased. It is most likely because of a decrease in the interest in one or more of the larger programs such as firearms permits, DOE employment, or child care providers.

Item 9 (#LATENT FINGER/PALM PRINT SEARCHES DONE THRU AFIS): The reporting capability for the Statewide AFIS has yet to be delivered and therefore, we are unable to extract the appropriate counts at this time.

Item 10 (#CJIS-HAWAII RECS INDEXED ON INTERSTATE ID INDEX): The increase in this area is a result of the HCJDC staff taking a proactive role in taking control of records that meet the III criteria.

PROGRAM TITLE: SAFETY FROM PHYSICAL DISASTERS

PROGRAM-ID:

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 0902

	FISCAL	YEAR 2006-	07	- 1		THREE MON	THS ENDED 0	9-30	0-07	1	NINE MO	NTHS ENDING	06-30	-08	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CH	IANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	ı	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)	 			 	 					 					
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	175.50 83,503	152.50 58,063	•	 23.00 25,440	•	204.50 24,043	131.50 2,872	 - -	- 73.00 - 21,171	 36 88	204.50 72,090	185.50 71,744	 - -	19.00 346	 9 0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	175.50 83,503	152.50 58,063	•	23.00 25,440		204.50 24,043	131.50 2,872	!	- 73.00 - 21,171	36 88	204.50 72,090	185.50 71,744	- -	19.00 346] 9
					L	FISC	AL YEAR 2006	-07			FIS	CAL YEAR 2007	7-08		
					1	PLANNED	ACTUAL	Ŧ	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± C	HANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. DEATHS/INJ/PROP DAM DUE TO FLOOI	DS (INCIDENTS)				 	4	4		+ 0	0	4	4	+	0	 0

Intermediate Level Program
No Narrative
(See Lowest Level Programs for Explanation of Variances)

REPORT V61 11/29/07

PROGRAM TITLE:

PREVENTION OF NATURAL DISASTERS

PROGRAM-ID:

LNR-810

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 090201

	FISCAL	YEAR 2006-	07	ı	THREE MON	NTHS ENDED 09	-30-07	l	NINE MC	NTHS ENDING	06-30-0	8	I
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANG	E %	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	(<u>+</u> CH.	ANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)			† 	 				!			 	 	
OPERATING COSTS									-				
POSITIONS	5.00	3.00	•	0 40	8.00	4.00	1 - 4.00	50	•	8.00	+	0.00	0
EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	597	441	- 15	5 26	238	102	- 136	57	672	826	+	154	23
TOTAL COSTS			,		•								
POSITIONS	5.00	3.00		0 40	8.00	4.00	- 4.00	50		8.00	+	0.00	0
EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	597	441	- 15	6 26	238	102	- 136	57	672	826	1 +	154	23
				1	FISC	CAL YEAR 2006-0)7		FIS	CAL YEAR 2007	7-08		
				Ī	PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u> +</u> CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CH/	ange	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NO. LOSSES DUE TO DEATHS/INJ/DISAE	ILTIES/PROP DAM	1			4	. 4	+ 0	0	4	4	[+	 0	0
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP			***	Ţ			<u> </u>	[_				!	
1. DEFACTO POPULATION				[1.3	1.4	+ 0.1	8	1.3	1.4	+	0.1	8
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY				1			1				l	1	.
 NO. OF FLOOD CONTROL & PREVENTIO 				1	4	4	+ 0	0		4	+	0	0
NUMBER OF FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT				[. 1	1	+ 0	0		1	+	0	0
NUMBER OF COOPERATIVE AGREEMEN NO. OF FLOODWATER CONTROL & CONTROL		-		1	1	1	+ 0	0		1	+	0 0	0
5. NO. FLOOD CNTRL RSEARCH/STUDIES/				!	7	2	1 - 2	50	ll .	2	T	0 1	0 0
6. FLOOD MITIGATION (MAN-HOURS)	WESTOTINGT EX	ITIVID		. :	100	100	1 + 0	1 0		100	+	0 1	0
7. NO. OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE RENDI	ERED (MAN-HOUR	S)		i	500	500	+ 0	1 0		500	1 +	o i	0
8. NUMBER OF REPORTS AND MAPS PREF	•	-,		i	2	0	i - 2	100		2	+	0 1	0
9. NO. OF DAM SAFETY PROJECTS DEVEL	OPED OR IMPLEM	ENTD		i	1	4	j + 3	300		· 1	+	οί	0
NUMBER OF DAMS INSPECTED				į	72	156	j + 84	117	72	135	j +	63	88 j

PROGRAM TITLE: PREVENTION OF NATURAL DISASTERS

09 02 01 LNR 810

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

Differences in staffing levels, budgeted amounts and actual expenditures due to staff vacancies and delay in implementation of a federal grant.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

No significant variance.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Defacto population increased.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 5 - Reduced due to staff limitations and focus on other priority tasks.

Item 8 - Reduced due to staff limitations and focus on other priority tasks.

Item 9 - Increase in projects implemented due to storms of February to April 2006 and earthquake of October 2006.

Item 10 - Increase due to storms of February to April 2006 and earthquake of October 2006.

PROGRAM TITLE:

AMELIORATION OF PHYSICAL DISASTERS

PROGRAM-ID:

DEF-110 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 090202

	FISCAL YEAR 2006-07					THREE MONTHS ENDED 09-30-07				NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-08					
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± (CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	±0	HANGE	%	
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)	 		 		 			 	 			 		 	
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	170.50 82,906	149.50 57,622	 - -	21.00 25,284		196.50 23,805	127.50 2,770	 - 69.00 - 21,035	 35 88	196.50 71,418		 - -	19.00 500		
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	170.50 82,906	149.50 57,622	- -	21.00 25,284		196.50 23,805	127.50 2,770	- 69.00 - 21,035	35 88	196.50 71,418	177.50 70,918	<u>-</u>	19.00 500		
					L	FISCAL YEAR 2006-07				FISCAL YEAR 2007-08					
					Ī	PLANNED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%	
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. % OF CIVIL DEFENSE DISASTER PLAN READINESS 2. % OF CIVIL DEFENSE ORGANIZATN & TRAINING READINESS 3. PERCENT OF CD EMERGENCY SUPPORT SYSTEMS READINESS 4. PERCENT OF HARNG PERSONNEL READINESS 5. PERCENT OF HARNG TRAINING READINESS 6. PERCENT OF HARNG LOGISTICS READINESS 7. PERCENT OF HANG PERSONNEL READINESS 8. PERCENT OF HANG TRAINING READINESS 9. PERCENT OF HANG LOGISTICS READINESS						75 75 75 85 64 89 98 90 95	75 77 77 90 92 92 98 93 96	+ 0 + 2 + 2 + 5 + 28 + 3 + 0 + 3 + 1	0	75 75 75 85 64 89 98 90	75 77 77 92 95 94 98 90 95	+ + + + + + +	0 2 2 7 31 5 0 0	48 6 0	
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. RESIDENT POPULATION OF THE STATE (THOUSANDS) 2. AV DAILY VISITOR POPULATN IN THE STATE (THOUSANDS)						1245 185	124 7 195	 + 2 + 10	0	1245 185	1250 195	+	5 10	 0 5	
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NUMBER OF FUNCTIONAL MILITARY UI 2. AMOUNT OF DIRECT FED FUND SPPT I 3. NUMBER OF ARMORIES & SUPPORT F, 4. INVENTORY COST OF NAT GUARD EQU 5. COST OF MILITARY SPPT TO CIVIL AUT 6. ASSIGNED MILITARY STRENGTH (NUM 7. NUMBER OF CIVIL DEFENSE PLANS UF 8. NUMBER OF PERSONS COMPLETING	FOR MIL DEF (000'S ACILITIES MAINTAI JIP MAINTAIND(00'S 'HORITIES (000'S) BER) PDATED FORMAL CD TRAIN	NED 1'S) ING				57 184000 91 4360000 327 5450 172 30	52 191500 31 4600000 54 5200 178 39	- 5 + 7500 - 60 + 240000 - 273 - 250 + 6 + 9		57 184000 91 4360000 327 5450 172 30	52 195000 30 4700000 58 5300 172 40	- + - + - +	5 11000 61 340000 269 150 0 10	9 67 82 82 3	
 NO. OF EMERGENCY SHELTER SPACE NUMBER OF WARNING DEVICES INSTA 		D'S)			j	348 15	348 15	[+ 0 + 0	0 0	348 15	350 18	+	2 3	i	

PROGRAM TITLE: AMELIORATION OF PHYSICAL DISASTERS

09 02 02 DEF 110

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

Positions: In FY 2007, there were 21 vacancies, of which 12 were filled with 89 day hires pending receipt of lists of eligibles, and 9 were vacant due to other miscellaneous reasons such as deployments, re-allocations, reclassification, and recent resignations. For FY 2008, there are 69 vacancies, of which 17 are new positions being added for filling, 30 in stages of filling and recruitment, 12 are recent resignations and transfers, 7 are re-classifications and 3 that were deployed. For the remaining of FY 2008, we are estimating that the numbers will be reduced to 19 vacancies.

Expenditures: The underexpenditure is a result of not being able to execute procurement agreements within 103D HRS for Homeland Security Federal funds. Without this agreements in place, the county and state agencies required more time to complete their purchase requirements. Federal grant period to expend the funds have been extended in order for the agencies to secure the proper procurement approvals.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

#5 - Training readiness was raised to the highest level due to the recent deployment and the future deployment. Priority has shifted to maintaining the highest level of training readiness.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

No significant variances.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

#3 The variance is due to the correction of facilities being double counted for HIARNG and HIANG.

#5 The reduction of Military support was due to the lack of military personnel and the absorption of the support by State funds.

#8 The training was opened to people outside of CD employees and therefore more were available and trained.

#10 The result of emergency funds being made available, which will allow the State to increase the number of installations.

This page is intentionally left blank